IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.66 OF 2006
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.49 OF 2006

Rajendraprasad Surajlal Gupta ...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra ...Respondent

Shri M.H. Banderkar i/by Zia-Ul-Mustafa for the Applicant.
Shri A.S.Shitole, A.P.P for the Respondent.

CORAM : A. S. OKA, J.
DATE : DECEMBER 19, 2006.

P.C.:

1. Heard the Advocate for the Applicant and the learned A.P.P for the State. The conviction of the Applicant (accused No.1) is for offence punishable under section 392 of the Indian Penal Code. The substantive sentence is of rigorous imprisonment for two years. The impugned order notes that the Applicant was on bail from 01st September, 1992. The Applicant was in custody for a period of about three months before the Trial. The Applicant is in custody from 17th December, 2005.

2. The Appeal is not likely to be heard before the remaining period of sentence is over. Hence, I pass

the following order:

(i) The Applicant shall be enlarged on the same bail on which he was enlarged during the pendency of the trial subject to filing fresh bail bonds.

(ii) Bail is granted subject to condition that the Applicant will report to the D.C.B. C.I.D., Mumbai once in a month till the final disposal of the Appeal.

JUDGE